

Mr. Morrison ORR

Mr. Bush 201 (e.g. in "Emergency" situation on 20 Oct)

Mr. Bush 201

15 Jan 63 CB

See 3/0/ EURON/201-64

Mr. Bush 201

NSA Frank Allen 13-5334

c/o EURON/205-64

14 Oct 64 2052Z

GT 10 different fragments hit up on U-2 flight 27 Oct;  
only 1 radio, 19 Oct. This didn't happen later.

Also, multi-channel comm channel, on defense, Russian, on 27 Oct.

14 April 64 On night of 26 Oct 62 an "attack" on an unidentified Soviet  
camp was in progress. The nationality of the attacking forces was  
not national. This attack was possibly directed against the  
Los Angeles SSM with agreement to the Soviet side and believed  
to be in direct comm. with them. During this time 14 informed  
X at Santiago de Cuba that he was "advancing" with his unit.  
Later 14 indicated that three persons may have been killed during his "advance"  
and that 15 others were wounded. Subsequent discussions indicated

• national requirements for surgeons and the dispatch of seven doctors  
14 ultimately requested that a report of the whole affair be sent to  
[?] [?] [?]  
If a better conversation revealed he was to report to him from the following  
morning. The US was mission was that soon the morning after [?] the

incident, presumably by the Los Angeles SSM site.

V - Col. Gen. of Christian Valters Davidkov, prob. SFC commander till  
departure 31 Aug 63

M: "I have a suspicion that there has been an attack" ... "one of  
our comrades was shot and wounded." mentioned a vehicle had  
been turned over and that he was "advancing" with his unit. Later,  
MAL/TSEV indicated "3 persons" may have died during his "advance," and  
15 others wounded. needed two surgeons. I doctor sent  
[other casualties?] V wanted M to report to him in person  
"tomorrow morning" - "at what time?"

[Attack on Banes?]

[What makes you think, etc.,

(This attack was not Banes' main mission site - though unit included  
because M was "advancing." Nothing on attackers - could be Castro troops.  
This attack over by morning, but not before SSM site still held by  
enemy. What indications unit was held at all? That prisoners were  
taken? (S.M. note) When was imminent attack reported to them? Any reports  
on Castro surrounding a unit with troops?



Dr. Morrison ORR

and Bush 201 (2.3. in "unimpaired" state - 20 Oct)

by Bush 201

15 Jan 63 CB

See 3/1/2004/201-64

Mohr & Gauthier

NSA <sup>same</sup> ~~James~~ Allen 13-5334

C/O CURON/205-64

14 Apr 64 2052Z

Oct 10 different fragments hit up on U-2 flight 27 Oct;

only 1 seen, 19 Oct. This didn't happen later.

Also, multi-channel comm found, on defense, Russian, on 27 Oct.

4 April 64 On night of 26 Oct 62 on "attack" on an unidentified target

camp was in progress. The nationality of the attacking forces was

not national. This attack was possibly directed against the

Los Angeles S419 with agreement to the same rate and believed  
(Lt. Commander)

to be in direct comm. with them. During this time it informed

X. It brought to light that he was "advising" with his wife.

Later it indicated that three persons may have been during his "advising"

and that 15 others were wounded. Subsequent discussions indicated

national experiments for weapons and the impact of these factors

1. Although the experimental took a number of the studies appear to be out to

of a later conversation revealed he was to speak to her from the following

morning. The US was concerned about how the morning after [?] the

incident, presumably of the Los Angeles SFC site.

V - Bl. Gen. of Aviation Victor Davidow, prob. SFC commander till  
departure 31 Aug 83

M: "I have a suspicion that there has been an attack" ... "one of  
our comrades was shot and wounded." mentioned a vehicle had  
been turned over and that he was "advancing" with his unit. Later,  
MILITSEI indicated "3 persons" may have been killed during his advance, and  
others wounded. needed true weapons. I doctor sent  
[other carnations?] V wanted M to report to him in person  
"Tomorrow morning" - "at what time?"

[Attack on Ganes?] ]

[What makes you think, said,

[This attack was not Ganes since it was a tough unit which  
because M was "advancing." Nothing on attackers - could be Center troops.  
This attack was by many, but not the whole 2nd site still held by  
many. What indications were, had at all? That previous were  
taken. 1st site, when was movement attack reported. To them? Any report  
on Center were making a unit with troops?



(Scientists never mentions 2-a-month rule; no request for  
low-level.)

(reason for <25% aircraft per.)

No real urgency about SSF's emerges anywhere  
(i.e. reason for more, or fewer, flights).

Committee preoccupied with needs for low-level photos, and  
for organizing + increasing total coverage, for tactical (planning  
and technical reasons — a response to what was  
already there to be seen, not to what might be found.



W/are advantages to laws, if success, fully spelled  
out in earlier NIE's?

Note: a) reversals in judgments (e.g. limited attack

was less/equal/more likely to provoke retaliation  
than invasion.)

b) discrepancies in facts (military types, readiness of command

c) lack of any comment bearing on the strategy that

was followed: threat of attack, supported by

actions: blockade, mobilization; deployment; and

letters, specific threats.

(blockade considered only in isolation;

otherwise, military attack).

DCI didn't direct (12 Oct)

(see, Chet Cooper)

[Crisis emerges according to the logic of Provocation,  
not the logic of deliberate, calculated + informed response.]



Ross

Bob Munson ORR

Arch Bush OCI (e.g. on "insurgents" attacks on 26 Oct)

Mr. Sissy Burdick OSI

15 Jan 63 CIB

28 ~~March~~ Castro

NSA <sup>same</sup> Frank Allen 13-5334

See 3/0/CURUN/RO1-64

2/0 CURUN/RO5-64

14 Oct 64 2052Z

28 Oct 10 different Fritants hit up on U-2 flight 27 Oct;  
only 1 landing, 19 Oct. This didn't happen later.

Also, multi-channel comm channel, air defense, Russian, on 27 Oct.

14 April 64 On night of 26 Oct 62 an "attack" on an unidentified Sov  
camp was in progress. The nationality of the attacking forces was  
not national. This attack was possibly directed against the

Los Angeles SAM site adjacent to the Barnes site and believed  
(Barnes commander)  
to be in direct comm. with them. During this time, M informed

X at Santiago de Cuba that he was "advancing" with his unit.  
Later M indicated that three persons may have died during his "advance"  
and that 15 others were wounded. Subsequent discussions indicated  
national regiments for surgeons and the dispatch of seven doctors

[Timing?] M ultimately requested that a report of the whole affair be sent to  
V<sub>g</sub> a later conversation revealed he was to report to Vin ~~from~~ the following  
morning. The US recon mission was shot down the morning after [?] the

incident, known by the Los Angeles SSM site.

V - Col. Gen. of Aviation Viktor Davidkov, prob. SFC commander till  
departure 31 Aug 63

M: "I have a suspicion that there has been an attack" ... "one of  
our comrades was shot and wounded." mentioned a vehicle had  
overturned and that he was "advancing" with his unit. Later,  
MALITSEV indicated "3 persons" may have died during his 'advance,' and  
15 others wounded. needed two surgeons. I doctor sent  
[other casualties?] V wanted M to report to him in person  
'tomorrow morning' - "at what time?"

[Attack on Banes?]

[What ~~was~~ you ~~that~~, not,

(This attack was not Banes cruise missile site - though can't exclude -  
because M was "advancing." Nothing on attackers - could be Castro troops.

This attack over by morning, but can't exclude SSM site still held by  
morning. What indications site was held at all? That prisoners were

taken? (CIA note) When was insurgent attack reported at time? Any report  
on Castro surrounding a site with troops?



Stevenson - Sec. 10/31/62

Sov. Ambassador [to Havana] told U Thant:

Order from K to dismantle received before 1 and PM. Started dismantling at 5 on Sunday. Will be all over at latest by Friday, when we will have finished bulldozing of sites. Even the pads will be gone, but no Cuban observation of the dismantling is permitted.

As to aircraft, Russians assured them that Cubans had not been trained to fly them...Any equipment, Sov-manned will go. All the A-A, both SAM and conventional, is manned by Cubans. It was a Cuban colonel that shot down our plane.

Castro is frustrated, intense, psychotic...

Rihye...please lay off the recon till Friday. After that you will find they have bulldozed all of the sites.

11/1/62 Ball - Sec.

Castro talked as though he had all the anti-aircraft and he did boast that it was the Cubans who had shot down Major Anderson. Rihye himself is not at all persuaded that this was just only boastfulness on his part.

He feels that there is a state of very definite kind of hysteria down there at the moment; that they are probably going to be looking for flights today, and that they are going to throw everything that they have. After Castro makes his speech he thinks that if we could delay until tomorrow that the chances of getting into any real trouble are considerably diminished, particularly if we change the flight patterns.

(Mikoyann didn't want to have to invite Zorin, [so] didn't want Adlai... U.N.)

Rihye said on a Security Council meeting that Castro would ask him to hold the meeting off till after the 6th...

Sec.: Why the 6th!

Ball: Why, our elections.

(Mikoyann didn't want to have to invite Zorin, [so] didn't want Adlai... U.N.)